

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/644,054	08/20/2003	Michael Joseph Stirniman	SEAG-STL-2930P1	5143	
91716 7590 0923/2010 SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY LLC		EXAM	TINER		
C/O Murabito	Hao & Barnes LLP		MACARTHUR, SYLVIA		
Two North Ma Third Floor	irket Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Jose, CA 9	95113		1716		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/23/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

officeaction@mhbpatents.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Annlinent(n)	
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/644,054	STIRNIMAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Sylvia R. MacArthur	1716	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE	REPLY FILED 07 September 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. 🛛	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this
	application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the
	application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
	for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time
	periods:
	The paried for raphy expires 3 months from the mailing data of the final rejection

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (1) above, it checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.77(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____ A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) 🔲 They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

In a minimum sale not in compliance with 37 CPK 1.121. See attached Notice of Noti

non-allowable claim(s).
7.
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of

how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.
Claim(s) objected to: ____.

Claim(s) objected to. ____.
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8.13-15.28 and 29</u>.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 16-23.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. [The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered
	because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
	was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. \(\subseteq \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). ______

13. Other:

September 19, 2010

/Sylvia R MacArthur/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1716 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner has considered applicants arguments and has found them to be unpersuasive, namely applicant argues that the prior and to Branderhorst et al. (US.5,196,064) fails to teach or fairly suggest an elongated lubricant vapor source as recited in claim 1. However, upon review of the Figures of Branderhorst et al especially Figures 1 and 3 it is noted that the nozzle assembly of Branderhorst et al comprises a chamber (bridge piate 170) with primary plugs (110, 115). Nuts 123 and 124 have threaded holes to complement the plugs and allow the height adjustment Branderhorst et al is seen as the primary reference, teaching the claimed structure of the plugs with a drilled hole 128 and two openings (inite and outlet). Since Branderhorst et al fails to specify the use of a lubricant source, the prior and 1 Claim et al. (US.6,487,986) teaches an elongated vapor source (chamber 1). Similar to the assembly of Branderhorst et al, the prior and to Segerstorm et al (EP 0318071) teaches threaded plugs and their ability to provide adjustable spray configurations.